



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/966,777	09/28/2001	David A. Lee	42390P11152	5083

7590 10/24/2005

BLAKELY, SOKOLOFF,
TAYLOR & ZAFMAN LLP
Seventh Floor
12400 Wilshire Boulevard
Los Angeles, CA 90025-1026

EXAMINER

SCHUBERT, KEVIN R

ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
----------	--------------

2137

DATE MAILED: 10/24/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Office Action Summary

Application No.

09/966,777

Applicant(s)

LEE ET AL.

Examiner

Kevin Schubert

Art Unit

2137

-- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --

Period for Reply

A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.

- Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).

Status

- 1) ☒ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 12 September 2005.
- 2a) ☐ This action is **FINAL**. 2b) ☒ This action is non-final.
- 3) ☐ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under *Ex parte Quayle*, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.

Disposition of Claims

- 4) ☒ Claim(s) 1,2,5-9,19,20,23,25,26,28,29,32,33,35 and 36 is/are pending in the application.
- 4a) Of the above claim(s) _____ is/are withdrawn from consideration.
- 5) ☐ Claim(s) _____ is/are allowed.
- 6) ☒ Claim(s) 1,2,5-9,19-20,23,25-26,28-29,32-33,35-36 is/are rejected.
- 7) ☐ Claim(s) _____ is/are objected to.
- 8) ☐ Claim(s) _____ are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.

Application Papers

- 9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.
- 10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on _____ is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
- 11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.

Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119

- 12) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).
- a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:
1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.
 2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. _____.
 3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).

* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.

Attachment(s)

- | | |
|--|---|
| 1) <input type="checkbox"/> Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) | 4) <input type="checkbox"/> Interview Summary (PTO-413)
Paper No(s)/Mail Date. _____ |
| 2) <input type="checkbox"/> Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) | 5) <input type="checkbox"/> Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152) |
| 3) <input type="checkbox"/> Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)
Paper No(s)/Mail Date _____ | 6) <input type="checkbox"/> Other: _____ |

DETAILED ACTION

Claims 1-2,5-9,19-20,23,25-26,28-29,32-33, and 35-36 have been considered. The examiner maintains both previous rejections and includes a 112 1st paragraph rejection.

5

Continued Examination Under 37 CFR 1.114

A request for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, including the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e), was filed in this application after final rejection. Since this application is eligible for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, and the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e) has been timely paid, the finality of the previous Office action has been withdrawn pursuant to 37 CFR 1.114. Applicant's

10 submission filed on 9/12/05 has been entered.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

The following is a quotation of the first paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

15

The specification shall contain a written description of the invention, and of the manner and process of making and using it, in such full, clear, concise, and exact terms as to enable any person skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make and use the same and shall set forth the best mode contemplated by the inventor of carrying out his invention.

20

Claims 1-2,5-9,19-20,23,25-26,28-29,32-33, and 35-36 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, as failing to comply with the written description requirement. The claim(s) contains subject matter which was not described in the specification in such a way as to reasonably convey to one skilled in the relevant art that the inventor(s), at the time the application was filed, had possession of the claimed invention. The examiner finds no support for the newly amended limitation "encrypting each of the update keys using the corresponding secret key assigned to each of the valid receivers".

25

Having fully considered the specification, the examiner finds support for "update keys encrypted using secret keys assigned to each receiver" (specification: [0026]). The examiner also finds support that "update keys are encrypted with a key that is a combination of the previous update key, the device secret key associated with this row or column, and table location" (specification: [0036]). However, the examiner finds no support for the limitation "encrypting each of the update keys using the corresponding secret key

30

Art Unit: 2137

assigned to each of the valid receivers" as claimed. Appropriate correction or a specific reference pointing out specifically where this limitation is disclosed in the specification is required.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

5 The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless –

10 (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

 Claims 1-2,5-9,19-20,23,25-26,28-29,32-33, and 35-36 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Lotspiech, U.S. Patent No. 6,118,873.

15 As per claims 1-2,5-9,19-20,23,25-26,28-29,32-33, and 35-36, the applicant discloses a method comprising the following limitations which are met by Lotspiech:

 a) generating a list of update keys on a key distribution center system based on a table of secret keys identifying the valid and invalid receivers of a plurality of receivers, the list of update keys allowing
20 valid receivers to decrypt a valid content key using update keys obtained from the list of update keys (Col 5, lines 9-19);

 b) generating a multiple nested list of decryption patterns based on the list of update keys (Col 2, lines 7-41);

 c) encrypting each of the update keys using the corresponding secret key assigned to each of the
25 valid receivers (Col 2, lines 7-41);

 d) broadcasting the multiple nested list of decryption patterns to the plurality of receivers (Col 2, lines 7-41);

 e) recovering a content key from the list of update keys by recovering a set of update keys for each receiver from the multiple nested list of decryption patterns and using the set of update keys to

Art Unit: 2137

decrypt the content key, wherein the valid receivers receive the recovered content key to facilitate decryption of content, and each of the invalid receivers receive an intermediate key to facilitate blocking of the content (Col 2, lines 7-41);

5 Lotspiech discloses a method of broadcasting a content key to a valid receiver in which each receiver maintains a plurality of device keys and a licensing agency keeps a list which identifies the secret keys of all receivers. To broadcast a content key, a licensing agency generates a list of session numbers (update keys) which are encrypted with respective secret keys of receivers (part a). The encrypted list of session numbers is combined into a session key block which is broadcast to a plurality of receivers (parts b and c). Valid receivers use their respective keys to decrypt the session numbers (update keys) which
10 are then used to decrypt the content key. However, if an invalid receiver is identified, a compromised key of the compromised device is identified from the table of secret keys and used to encrypt a dummy number which prevents the invalid receiver from arriving at the content key and instead makes him arrive at a distinct second key.

15 Claims 1-2,7-9,19-20,23,25-26,28-29,32-33, and 35-36 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Richards, U.S. Patent No. 6,069,957.

As per claims 1,19, and 28, the applicant describes a method comprising the following limitations which are met by Richards:

20 a) generating a list of update keys on a key distribution center system based on a table of secret keys identifying valid and invalid receivers of a plurality of receivers, the list of update keys allowing valid receivers to decrypt a valid content key using update keys obtained from the list of update keys (Col 4, lines 52-67; Col 9, lines 12-31);

25 b) generating a multiple nested list of decryption patterns based on the list of update keys (Col 9, lines 12-31);

c) encrypting each of the update keys using the corresponding secret key assigned to each of the valid receivers (Col 9, lines 12-31);

Art Unit: 2137

d) broadcasting the multiple nested list of decryption patterns to the plurality of receivers (Col 1, lines 25-31);

e) recovering a content key from the list of update keys by recovering a set of update keys for each receiver from the multiple nested list of decryption patterns and using the set of update keys to
5 decrypt the content key, wherein the valid receivers receive the recovered content key to facilitate decryption of content, and each of the invalid receivers receives an intermediate key to facilitate blocking of the content (Col 9, lines 12-31);

As per claims 2,20, and 29, the applicant describes the method of claims 1,19, and 28, which are
10 met by Richards (see above), with the following limitation which is also met by Richards:

Wherein the generating of the list of update keys comprises generating one or more distinct intermediate keys and the content key (Col 9, lines 12-31);

As per claims 7 and 25, the applicant describes the method of claims 1 and 19, which are met by
15 Richards (see above), with the following limitation which is also met by Richards:

Wherein the recovering a set of update keys for each receiver from the multiple nested list of decryption patterns comprises parsing the multiple nested list of decryption patterns to locate an entry intended for a particular receiver based on detection of a predetermined test pattern included in an entry in the multiple nested list of decryption patterns (Col 9, lines 63-67);

20

As per claims 8,26, and 35, the applicant describes the method of claims 1,19, and 28, which are met by Richards (see above), with the following limitation which is also met by Richards:

Further comprising broadcasting the content encrypted with the content key (Col 9, lines 26-31).

25 As per claims 9 and 36, the applicant describes the method of claims 8 and 35, which are met by Richards (see above), with the following additional limitation which is also met by Richards:

Art Unit: 2137

Further comprising decrypting said content encrypted with said content key using a content key recovered from the multiple nested list of decryption patterns (Col 9, lines 26-31).

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

5 The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

10 (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negated by the manner in which the invention was made.

15 Claims 5-6,23, and 32-33 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Richards in view of Uz, U.S. Patent No. 6,351,538.

As per claims 5,23, and 32, the applicant describes the method of claims 1,19, and 28, which are met by Richards (see above), with the following limitation which is met by Uz:

20 Wherein the generating a multiple nested list of decryption patterns comprises encrypting an entry of the list of update keys using a key that comprises a combination of a previous update key, a secret key for a receiver associated with the entry of the list of update keys, and an index indicating a location in the table of secret keys associated with each entry (Uz: Col 8; lines 25-31; Richards: Col 9, lines 12-31);

25 Richards discloses all the limitations of claims 1,10,19,28,37, and 42. Richards fails to disclose an "index indicating a location in said table of secret keys associated with each entry". Uz discloses a one way broadcasting system which broadcasts key and content information for conditional access systems, such as a pay-per-view system. Uz system also discloses the use of maintaining key tables. Furthermore, Uz discloses the idea of transmitting an index indicating a location in the table of secret keys which can be used to locate keys for decryption.

Wherein an entry in the multiple nested list of decryption patterns includes a predetermined test pattern encrypted with the secret keys for a receiver associated with the entry of the list of update keys (Col 9, lines 63-67);

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was filed to combine the ideas of Uz and Richards and encrypt the header of information of Richards' system because doing so would make the system more secure and less vulnerable to hackers being able to intercept the broadcast and know information about the data.

Applicant's arguments, see Remarks, filed 9/12/05, with respect to the previous 112 2nd paragraph rejection have been fully considered and are persuasive. The previous 112 2nd rejection has been withdrawn.

25 Applicant's arguments with regard to the Lotspiech reference fail to comply with 37 CFR 1.111(b) because they amount to a general allegation that the claims define a patentable invention without specifically pointing out how the language of the claims patentably distinguishes them from the

Art Unit: 2137

references. The applicant merely recounts passages of Lotspiech and states that the amended limitation distinguishes over Lotspiech. The applicant has provided no explanation or analysis as to why or how he has arrived at his alleged conclusion.

For the sake of expediting prosecution, the examiner notes that the amended limitation is present in Lotspiech. Lotspiech discloses a method of broadcasting an encrypted block of update keys. The update keys are sent in a block fashion. The update keys of each particular section of the block are encrypted with the corresponding secret key assigned to each of the valid receivers.

To use the example cited in Lotspiech (Col 5, line 45), the device secret keys may be $S_{3,1}$, $S_{5,2}$, $S_{1,3}$, $S_{1,4}$, $S_{6,5}$, $S_{4,6}$, and $S_{8,7}$. Update key x_1 is encrypted using the corresponding secret key $S_{3,1}$, update key x_2 is encrypted using the corresponding secret key $S_{5,2}$, update key x_3 is encrypted using the corresponding secret key $S_{1,3}$, etc.

Applicant's arguments filed 9/12/05 with regard to the Richards reference fail to comply with 37 CFR 1.111(b) because they amount to a general allegation that the claims define a patentable invention without specifically pointing out how the language of the claims patentably distinguishes them from the references. Again the applicant has merely recounted passages of Richards and then stated that the amended limitation is not disclosed by Richards without providing an explanation or analysis as to why or how the applicant has arrived at this alleged conclusion.

For the sake of expediting prosecution, the examiner notes that the amended limitation is present in Richards. The CUSTOMER_CODE secret key is used to encrypt the update keys.

Conclusion

This action is made non-final. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Kevin Schubert whose telephone number is (571) 272-4239. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F 7:30-6:00.

Art Unit: 2137

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Emmanuel Moise can be reached on (571) 272-3865. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application
5 Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from
either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through
Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see <http://pair-direct.uspto.gov>. Should
you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC)
at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

10

KS


EMMANUEL L. MOISE
SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER